



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	- 1 - ,	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED DIVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,668 · '		10/18/2001	Kenji Yoshino	450100-03546	7650	
20999	7590	06/21/2004	/2004 EXAMINER			
		RENCE & HAU E- 10TH FL.	ELMORE, REBA I			
	ORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2187	斗		
				DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	4 <i>J</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/982,668	YOSHINO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Reba I. Elmore	2187				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2004.					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	,—						
Disposit	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended		-				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmeni	(s)						
I) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di					
Patent and Tr	11-0"						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/982,668

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

Specification

- 2. The objection to the title is *withdrawn* due to the amendment.
- 3. The objection for Figure 28b being shown on page 18, line 25 as '28B' is *withdrawn* due to the amendment.
- 4. The objection for acronyms being defined in their first usage within the body of the specification is *withdrawn* due to the amendment.
- 5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

35 USC § 102

- 6. The rejection of claims 1-41 as being anticipated by Kihara is *maintained* and repeated below but updated to include the amendments to the claims.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 8. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kihara et al.

Art Unit: 2187

9. Kihara teaches the invention (claims 1, 10, 13 and 22) as claimed including a data processing device comprising:

a data storage means for storing an access permission table stored in media is taught as stored information necessary for the authentication of memory card and/or the recorder/player, this stored information consists of data required for determining usage of the memory card and data contents (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 7, line 55 to col. 9, line 61) additionally there is an area referred to as the management flag area which also contains flags detailing how the memory can be used as well as an access permission flag for indicating whether an area is free or read protected, also, access permission tables can include information as to how an area can be utilized as to what type of data can be stored or erased from the memory (e.g., see Figures 5-7 and col. 10, line 9 to col. 14, line 32);

a memory interface unit for accessing data storage means as an interface between the DSP and the memory card (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 7, line 55 to col. 8, line 10);

a control unit for controlling the memory interface unit is taught as the digital signal processor (DSP) which provides the control signals necessary for operating the memory card (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 7, line 55 to col. 8, line 10);

an access permission table which is stored in a data storage area in the data storage means is set in the memory interface unit is taught as the security block which contains a plurality of authentication keys (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 8, line 56 to col. 9, line 14); and,

in response to an access command to access the data storage means, which is issued by the control unit, the memory interface unit determines, by referring to the access permission table, whether or not to execute the access command, whereby processing which is set

Art Unit: 2187

executable by the access permission table is only executed is taught as multiple levels of security including the mutual authentication of security IC and the security block with the added level of an erase protection switch specifically for the flash memory (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 8, line 56 to col. 9, line 61).

As to claims 2, 10, 11 and 14, Kihara teaches:

the data storage area in the data storage means is a flash memory having a plurality of blocks which each block consisting of a plurality of sectors which each have a predetermined data capacity (e.g., see col. 10, lines 9-27);

in the access permission table, permission information on block-unit data processing is set as the access permission flag which are part of every page (e.g., see col. 10, lines 27-57); and,

in accordance with the set permission information, the memory interface unit includes means for determining whether or not the block-unit data processing can be executed as the use of prohibition flags as well as the permission flags (e.g., see col. 10, lines 27-57).

As to claims 3 and 15, Kihara teaches:

only when a type of processing corresponding to the access command from the control unit is within a range of processing types which are set executable by the access permission table does the memory interface unit execute the type of processing, and sets a process-success flag in accordance with a success of the processing in the memory interface unit as the different layers or hierarchy of the processes of the file system with each layer having criteria which must be met prior to the processing being allowed (e.g., see col. 9, line 62 to col. 10, line 8); and,

Art Unit: 2187

the control unit executes processing thereof on condition that the setting of the processsuccess flag in the memory interface unit is verified as the DSP performing the processing which the criteria has been verified (e.g., see (e.g., see col. 9, line 62 to col. 10, line 8).

As to claims 4 and 16, Kihara teaches:

when the access command designates a data-file reading process, the control unit executes a process in which the address of a data file to be read is selected from a file allocation table corresponding to the data storage area in the data storage means and is transmitted to the memory interface unit (e.g., see col. 11, line 60 to col. 14, line 17); and,

after receiving the address of the data file, the memory interface unit determines, by using the received address to refer to the access permission table, whether or not an address-assigned area having the address is a data-readable area, and only when the address assigned area is a data-readable area does the memory interface unit execute the data-file reading process is taught as the DSP determining the type of file being accessed along with the type of processing being determined and authenticated (e.g., see col. 11, line 60 to col. 14, line 17).

As to claims 5 and 17, Kihara teaches:

when the access command designates a data-file writing process, the control unit executes a process in which the address of the data file to be written is selected from the data storage area in the data storage means and is transmitted as a write address to the memory interface unit as writing content to the memory card (e.g., see col. 9, lines 1-25); and,

after receiving the write address, the memory interface unit determines whether or not an address-assigned area having the write address is a data writable area by using the received write address to refer to the access permission table as determining whether or not conditions for the

Art Unit: 2187

mutual authentication have been met as well as the erase protection switch being correctly set for the writing of data to the memory card (e.g., see col. 9, lines 1-61) and only when the address-assigned area is a data writable area allowing the execute of the data file writing process to be executed (e.g., see col. 9, line 1 to claim 10, line 67).

As to claims 6, 12 and 18, Kihara teaches:

in the access permission table, in the form of additional data, an integrity check value which is generated based on data in the access permission table is included as a check value for verifying whether or not the data in the access permission table is interpolated as the use of an ECC area (e.g., see col. 10, lines 27-43);

the memory interface unit including a cryptosystem unit for executing the integrity checking the access permission table using the integrity check value as part of the DES, data encryption standard, encrypting circuit (e.g., see col. 5, lines 31-41); and,

when the cryptosystem unit determines that the access permission table has not been interpolated, the access permission table is set in the memory interface unit and the data processing is executed based on the determination of access permission in accordance with the set access permission table as both the memory card and the DSP having the encrypting circuitry (e.g., see col. 6, lines 11-59).

As to claims 7 and 19, Kihara teaches:

in the access permission table, in the form of additional data, an integrity check value which is generated based on data including data in the access permission table and a identifier unique to the data storage means is included as a check value for verifying whether or not the data in the access permission table is interpolated (e.g., see Figure 5 and col. 10, lines 9-43);

Art Unit: 2187

the verification based on the integrity check value by the memory interface unit is executed as the verification of whether or not the access permission table is stored in valid media, in addition to the verification of whether or not the data in the access permission table is interpolated as the attribute information which is also stored on the memory card (e.g., see col. 9, lines 39-61); and,

when verifying the validity of storage, the access permission table is set in the memory interface unit and data processing is executed based on the determination of access permission in accordance with the set access permission table (e.g., see col. 9, line 15 to col. 10, line 67).

As to claims 8 and 20, Kihara teaches when mutual authentication is established as a result of mutual authentication with the data storage means, the access permission table which is stored in the memory of the data storage means, is set in the memory interface unit as additional data used for access capability (e.g., see col. 21, line 1 to col. 22 line 67).

As to claims 9 and 21, Kihara teaches:

the data storage means is a flash memory having a data storage area which has a plurality of blocks, each block consisting of a plurality of sectors with each sector having a predetermined capacity (e.g., see col. 10, lines 9- 43);

in the access permission table, either information on whether or not block unit data erasure can be performed or information on whether or not block unit data playback can be performed is set as using an erase protection switch and restricting the number of rewrites times to the flash memory (e.g., see col. 9, line 51 to col. 11, line 57); and,

Art Unit: 2187

in accordance with either information set in the access permission table, the memory interface unit determines whether or not block unit data processing can be executed (e.g., see col. 9, line 51 to col. 11, line 57).

Response to Applicant's Remarks

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed March 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 11. As to the remarks directed to the teaching of the access permission table, this element is taught to the extent necessary for the actual claim language. The claim language does not detail the content of the access permission table and this terminology can have many different meanings. For instance, access permission can consist of permission to read or write to an area or it can encompass the connectivity allowed by the interfaces. Information is stored which determines these types of things and this information can be validly referred to as 'access permission table' data.

Action is made Final

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reba I. Elmore, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 7:30am to 6:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor for AU 2187, Donald Sparks, can be reached for general questions concerning this application at (703) 308-1756. Additionally, the official fax phone number for the art unit is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Reba I. Elmore

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2187

June 16, 2004